



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

Fax Cover Sheet

Date: 22 Aug 2001

To: John Buser	From: Kevin M. Nguyen
Application/Control Number: 09/090,071	Art Unit: 2674
Fax No.: 248-358-3351	Phone No.: 703-305-6209
Voice No.: (248) 358-4400	Return Fax No.: 703-872-9314
Re:	CC:
<input type="checkbox"/> Urgent <input type="checkbox"/> For Review <input type="checkbox"/> For Comment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> For Reply <input type="checkbox"/> Per Your Request	

Comments:

Number of pages 3 **including this page**

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY

This facsimile transmission is an Official U.S. Government document which may contain information which is privileged and confidential. It is intended only for use of the recipient named above. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying of this document is strictly prohibited. If this document is received in error, you are requested to immediately notify the sender at the above indicated telephone number and return the entire document in an envelope addressed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/090,071

Applicant(s)

MILLER, ROBIN MIHEKUM

Examiner

Kevin M. Nguyen

Art Unit

2674

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kevin M. Nguyen.

(3) _____.

(2) Joan Buser.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 August 2001.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 5-9, 12, 13, 16 and 17.

Identification of prior art discussed: Roberts and Tanaka et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant points out that the proposal claims 5, 9, 12 and 13 recite "..... wherein the arrangement includes an optical detector for capturing colors and/ or structural features of the image of the environment approaching the vehicle and a control coupled to the optical detector for controlling the contrast of the heads-up display in response to the colors and / or structural features of the environmental image approaching the moving vehicle." Applicant will send a formal amendment. Upon further consideration, either advisory action or a Notice of Allow ability will be prepared by the Examiner.